G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Med 47-1385 1-7. B Aug. 1915

1913-14

(Medical)

File No. 59 of 19.

Serial Nos. ~~1 to 20~~ 1.4.6-20.

Later references.

Med 57-158 112 B Jany 1916.

Med 86-758 578. B. March 1916

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. ~~1 to 20~~ 1.4.6-20.

Part B for May 1913. 13 & Nos.

SUBJECT.

Complaint in respect of T. A. Bill of the Asst. Surgeon of Devangere for April & May 1912.

---

1. From the Senior Surgeon. WD. No C 18. dt 16/17.9.1912. Report on the result of Enquiry held by him on the complaints of Chandrasekharaiah and Panchaksharaiah about the T.A. bill of the Asst. Surgeon of Devangere for April & May 1912.

4. Petn dt. 19/11/12 from Panchaksharaiah praying for transfer of Mr. C. Rajagopal Mudaliar from Devangere.

GPB—5,000—22-10-10

P. T. O.

G. V. S. Rao
11/5/13.

6. To the Offg. Senior Surgeon. D.O.No 4515 dt 26/12/12

Requests him to institute further Enquiries in consultation with the Dy Commr on the lines indicated & Submit a detailed Report for orders at as early a date as possible.

7. D.O. No 43 dt 3/1/13. from the Offg Sen. Surg Requests Return for refce. of the file forwarded with Sl 1.

8. To the Offg. Sen. Surgn. D.O. No 4702 dt 6/1/13. Returns the file asked for in his D.O. dt 3/1/13 for reference & requests its return when done with.

9. D.O. dt 5.1.13. from Mr. T.V. Arumugam Mudlr. Offg. Senr. Surgn. Enquires whether he could ask the Dy Commr. Chitaldrug Dt. to "depute an Asst Commr. to hold an enquiry into the Complaint against the Asst Surgn, Devangere & Submit to Govt the report called for in his office D.O. No 4515 dt 26.12.12.

10. D.O. No 48 dt 8.1.13. from the Offg. Senr. Surgn. - Returns his office file.

11. Letter No. 4846. dt 10.1.13. to the Sen. Surgn. States that Govt has no objection to the Dy. Commr. Chitaldrug Dt. being requested to conduct the enquiry in question either personally or thro' one of the Asst. Commrs in the Dt.

P.T.O.

P.T.O.

To the Dy Commr. Chittaldrug Dt

Memo no of

Forwards copy of the above

12. D.O.no:57 d/20.1.13. from the Offg Senr. Surgeon.

Requests return of the file sent back with his D.O.no.48 d/8.1.13.

13. To. Dr T.V. Arumugam Instr. Offg. Senr. Surgeon.

D.O.no 5244. d/22.1.13. — Forwards the file received with his D.O.no 48 d/8.1.13.

14. D.no.72 d/2.3.13. from the same office

Acknowledges with thanks the receipt of the file sent to him with this office D.O.no 5244 d/22/1/13.

15. Petn from T. Shadakoharappa & others. - Pray that the records. rel T.a. bills of Mr. C. Rajgopal Instr. Asst Surgeon be called for and justice meted out to the delinquent.

16. D.O.no 84 d. 4.2.13. from the offg. Senr Surgn. Returns the file sent to him with this office D.O. d/22.1.13.

17. Petition dt 4.2.13. to the address of the Dewan on the same subject by Ganesh Krishnarao.

18. To the Dy Commr. Chitaldrug Dt. D.O.no 6095 dt 12.2.13. Forwards a copy of Sl 17. for information.

19. From the Senior Surgeon. Letter No. -C 66 dt 10.3.13. Forwards the records of enquiry held by the Sub. Dn Officer of Devangere (Mr Rayalu Chetty) and copy of letter No. 1228 d. 4.3.13 addressed to him by the offg Dy. Commr Chit. Dt giving his opinion in the matter.

20. To. the Senr Surgn the Dy. Commr. Chitaldrug. Dt. the Rev. Commr & the Comptr. G.O.no. 9108-11 dt 16.5.13. - Passes. orders on the complaint against - Mr C. Rajagopal Mudh. Asst Surgeon with refce. to the report of the enquiry received with Serial 19.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Medical)

NOTES.

File No. 597/1912

FILE HEADING. Complaint in respect of T A Bill of the Asst Surgeon of Davangere for April and May 1912.

From

The Senior Surgeon

No. MC 18 Dated 16-9-1912 Received 17.9.1912

Diary No. 1753/C	Enclos. one file Spare Copies. and two papers.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports on the result of enquiry held by him on the complaints of Chandra Sekhara Rao and Ramachakshariah, about the T.A. bills of the Asst Surgeon of Davangere for April and May 1912.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The facts of the case as gathered from the records now before Govt are as follows.

for - 1563/1912
a : (file 101)

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

P.T.O

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.	
	1) On the 7th June 1912 the Assistant Surgeon V grade, Davangere, (Mr C. Rajagopal B.A. M.B.B.C.M.) forwarded his travelling allowance bill for May 1912 for Rs 163-4-0. This was checked in the Senior Surgeon's office on 15.7.1912 and proposed to be passed for Rs 160-8-0 disallowing mileage for two days and substituting daily allowance (as the journey was only 20 miles and not more) In the meanwhile and before the bill was countersigned by the Senior Surgeon and forwarded to the Comptroller, Telegrams and petitions as noted in the margin were sent stating that the bill was false, and pointing out certain alleged false entries in the bill with a view to secure more travelling allowance. The complaint was in respect of one item in the Travelling allowance bill for April
1	Telegram dated 15.7.1912 to Senior Surgeon
1-	" " 16.7.12 — do
3-	" " do — Comptroller
34	" " 15.7.12 — do
2-	Petition dated 13.7.12 to Senior Surgeon from Panchakshariah
24	" " 16.7.12 — do — Chenderasekara Rao.
2-	" " 13.7.12 (copy of petn) Compte — do
2-	" " 16.7.1912 — Compte — do
31	" " 18.7.1912 — do — do
3-	" " 15.7.1912 — do — Panchakshariah
48	" " 20.7.1912 to Dewan — Chenderasekara Rao
47	" " 13.7.1912 (copy) Dewan do
51	" " 29.7.1912 to Senior Surgeon — do

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

April

May

April 1912 which had been already passed by comptroller and paid for, and four items in respect of the bill for May 1912. The several items are shown in detail in the several petns all of which are almost verbatim copies of one and the same petition.

2) On the 14th July 1912 the Assistant Surgeon requested the Senior Surgeon by an official reference to return the bill for May 1912, submitted by him for rectification of certain discrepancies that had crept in there and explaining that as he had to evacuate his house on account of plague infection and to move to the Sheds, he had mislaid his diary book in the confusion, that he had to prepare his T.A. bill without the help of his diary and that he had subsequently ascertained that certain discrepancies had found a place in it. This was followed by another private letter dated 16-7-1912 stating that the fact of his having requested for the return of the bill being known to the Sanitary Inspector Durga narayana Rao, he (Asst Surgeon) learnt that the said Sanitary

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—35,000—17-8-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med. File No. 59 of 1912—

Serial No.

Sanitary Inspector had instigated Chenderasekhara Rao and Panchakshariah to write against him to the Dewan, Comptroller and the Senior Surgeon. Without returning the original bill for May 1912, the Senior Surgeon directed the Asst Surgeon on 18.7.1912 to send a separate bill embodying the alterations. The Assistant Surgeon thereupon submitted a revised bill on 22.7.1912 for Rs 161-0-0 stating that he had to include some new villages in it which he had actually visited but which did not find mention in his previous bill and explaining that on account of the loss of his diary as previously stated he had to prepare the bill from his memory and from the requisitions of the Revenue authorities. In the meantime the Comptroller with his D.O. dated 19.7.1912 forwarded to the Senior Surgeon for needful enquiry, the telegram and petitions received by him in the matter. The petn to the Dewan was also forwarded to the Senior Surgeon with his office D.O. dated 23.7.1912. The Senior Surgeon has held a personal enquiry in the matter and has examined Chenderasekara Rao

paper 1 to 29

46 Diary T

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mee(File No. 57 of 1912—

Serial No.

Rao and Panchakshariah.

3) The farmer in his deposition dated the 20th August 1912 has stated to the effect that he had written and wired, at the instigation of and information furnished by, Surya narayana Rao and on the basis of a draft petition prepared and initialled by the said Sanitary Inspector (which he had shown to the Senior Surgeon and which he would show to any officer when required), that he did so as he believed that Govt had been duped &c.

Exhibit V

4) Panchakshariah while admitting of his having presented the complaints has stated (in his deposition dated 19.8.1912) that he learnt about the Asst Surgeon's visit to Anaji on the 27th April 1912 only from the Asst Surgeon, that the Asst Surgeon did not go that day, and that he did not know why the Assistant Surgeon went to Huchanduru and that he complained in the interest of Govt. He has also stated that the Asst Surgeon discussed the subject of laying out of a road by the compound of his house thereby giving cause for loss to him and that there was no other cause for his animosity against the Asst Surgeon.

1st Sr.

5) Chenderasekara Rao however in his petition

4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 57 of 1912

Serial No.

petition dated 21.8.1912 to the Senior Surgeon has stated to the effect that he was not in his normal health the previous day when his deposition was taken, that he did not know what was recorded as the deposition was not read over to him before taking his signature and that a fresh deposition may be taken as he was ~~of unsound mind~~. He has further stated that one of the important papers he had with him (when the Senior Surgeon was with him) was missing and requesting that the same may be returned to him if it had been taken away by the Senior Surgeon

b) ~~He~~ A comparative Statement showing the items at variance in the original and the revised bill for May 1912 ~~has been~~ (which is in the file) was sent by the Senior Surgeon to the Asst Surgeon for explanation, with the remark that his previous explanation was not lucid enough to be accepted as valid & clear especially in view of the fact that the revised bill which was also prepared in the absence of the Diary differed entirely from the original bill with the exception of a very few items and why the fact of his loss of diary was not reported while submitting the original bill. The Asst Surgeon has

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

G R. S: 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 87 of 1912

Serial No.

has offered his explanation in his letter no 53 dated the 8th September 1912.

4) The Senior Surgeon in his D.O dated 16.9.12 (paper under disposal) reports that the discrepancies brought to notice by the complainants are in the main true that from the explanations tendered by the Asst Surgeons there is room for suspecting his bona fides but considering the fact that the amount by which he is likely to have benefitted by submitting a wrong bill is very small viz Rs 2-4-0 and that he has omitted in the bill a number of villages he actually visited and in which he did afford medical aid to cholera stricken patients he is disinclined to convict him of mala-fides. He is further reported that the Senior Surgeon is convinced that there was a great misunderstanding between the Amildar and the Asst Surgeon and that the petitions were got up by the Amildar through the machinementality of the Sanj Inspector and Compounder Rama Rao of the Local Dispensary

8) The Senior Surgeon is of opinion that the Sanj Inspector deserves no less a punishment than dismissal from Service, that the degradation with the consequent

Refer under 1(1)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Medl File No. 5~9 of 1912—

Serial No.

Greenb loss of pay will suffice for the Compounder and that the Asst. Surgeon may be severely warned with loss of one fourth of his T. A. bill for May 1912 which will cure him of his carelessness and negligence. The Senior Surgeon adds that as the Assistant Surgeon has been of very great use to the public of Davangere and had rendered — yeoman service during last year's plague, he cannot suggest a severer punishment.

9) In the revised bill for May 1912, all the items complained against by the police in respect of the original bill, have been rectified and almost all the items of the revised bill are (it is seen from the original Jadees of the Sheristadar to the Asst. Surgeon which are in the file) supported by the requisitions of the Revenue authorities.

10) It from the records it is evident that the first complaint police presented to the Comptroller (and the Dewan dated 12th July 1912 had been tampered with while under transmission by post, (only a blank sheet of paper having been found in the registered cover) and as the Asst. Surgeon's reference for return of the original bill was made only after that date and as a reference is made by the

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med. File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

the Asst Surgeon himself in his private letter dated 16-7-12 to the Senior Surgeon about the complaint alleged to have been made against him in the matter, it is not improbable that the contents of the first complaint was some how or other known to the Asst Surgeon and that the revised bill was probably the result of that information.

11) It is not clear whether the Senior Surgeon had examined the Sheep Inspector and the compounder in the matter Subd.

19.9.1912

20.

12) The facts of the case are stated above.

13) The Complaint of Chandrasekhara Rao relates to the entries made against the dates 9th, 10th, 11th, 12th, 18th, 20th, 21st 19th & 23rd in the first T. A bill sent by Mr Rajagopala Mudaliar for the month of May. From the petition itself it is clear that what is charged is, not that the Asst Surgeon did not visit the villages mentioned but that he entered wrong dates, spreading journeys made in one day over two or three days.

Dlas S. paper 23 and See paper 59

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med. File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

paper 6 Flag U

It is clear from the revised bill submitted by the Asst. Surgeon & from his explanation that the specific charges mentioned in the petition are in the main true. The revised bill has been drawn up in accordance with the statements made in the petition with respect to the dates therein mentioned; and it is thus a practical admission that those statements are correct.

14) The question for consideration then is: What was his motive in drawing up an incorrect bill? The Asst. Surgeon's explanation is that he has lost his diary wherein he had noted all his work and that he drew up the diary only from memory. When he learnt that he had committed mistakes in the original bill, he obtained correct information by various means & then drew up the revised bill. The Senior Surgeon thinks that from this explanation there is room to suspect his bona fides.

paper 6 Flag V

15) As has been stated already, it is clear that the Asst. Surgeon did actually visit most of the villages noted in the first bill. From the Senior

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mad. File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

Surgeon's enquiry also, it seems to have transpired that there was no reason to believe he did not visit these villages + that he did visit more villages than these mentioned in the original & revised bills. It is thus not a case of attempting to earn T A by falsely representing to have performed journeys not actually undertaken. The Yadees from the Taluq Cutcherry requesting the Asst Surgeon to visit many of the villages noted in the bills are forthcoming. Therefore the ~~only~~ fault that the Asst Surgeon seems to have committed was to draw up a T bill originally without having the necessary materials before him and without taking any trouble at all to obtain + incorporate correct information in the bill. Thus as the Senior Surgeon says he has been exceedingly careless + negligent. The Amount claimed in the original bill of the exceeds that of the revised bill by only 2 Rs. 4 As.

(16) The Senior Surgeon is of opinion that the petns were got up against the Asst Surgeon at the instigation of the Amildar through the instrumentality of

for box number vide para 5(1)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

G R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med. File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

Sanitary Inspector & the Compounder. and that the Sanitary Inspector deserves to be dismissed while the Compounder may be degraded. The point against the San.y Inspector seems to be the Statement of Chandrasekhara Rao that the draft of the petn. was prepared by the San.y Inspector & initialled by him. The Sanitary Inspector has not been examined by the Senior Surgeon; and before any action could be taken agst him, his explanation as well as that of the Compounder seems to be necessary

17) The Senior Surgeon proposes that the Ass.t Surgeon may be severely warned both & that one fourth of his J.A & May 1912 may be cut off from his bill.

Submitted & orders

See 4)

SDR 24-5-12

18) Mr Rajagopala Mudaliar is one of our best medical officers - both in respect of his capacity and of his sympathy with his patients; and it is to be regretted that he should have given cause for his conduct being

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med. File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

Suspected with reference to his T A bill in question. The punishment recommended by the Senior Surgeon will suffice to serve as a lesson & a warning to him.

19) As regards the Sanitary Inspector & Compounder, the former of whom is recommended to be dismissed & the latter to be degraded by the Senior Surgeon, the records received do not show what they have done to merit such punishments. There is no clear charge against them, nor they have had no opportunity of explaining any such charge. In the circumstances, there does not seem to be any necessity or occasion to refer their conduct.

2nd Counsellor & Dewan. 25/9/12 SPOR 26.9.12

20) I agree with the Secy in thinking that the Sanitary Insp. & the Compounder cannot be punished without first obtaining their explanations.

21) Regarding Mr. Rose

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[GPE—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med (File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

Colaba Hospr. I am not prepared to accept the recommendation of the Senior Surgeon. The matter is more serious than he thinks it to be. The difference in the amount may be small. But the point for decision is whether Mr. R Hospr. prepared the false bill intentionally or not. So far as the enquiry of the S. Surgeon goes he cannot honestly come to the latter conclusion. The presumption is therefore, for his explanation that he took his money is true from facts pointing. I cannot make up my mind to believe it. The temper - ary hole the registered cover at the request of the Asst Surgeon

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—61,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

for the return of the bill soon after the same was issued stating reasons against him

22) It appeared that between Amir ud-Dowla Nawab Ghulam Ali Khan & the servants various reports were in circulation & that the letters might have been written at the instance of the former. This point needs being enquired into.

23) Before final orders are passed I should like further enquiry to be made by the Dy Comr.

29/9/12

3689
30-9-12

24) Dewan. 29

25 Mr Main 30/9 SPR 30-9-12

Case for decision

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—17-9-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

is the conduct of the A.S.D. Surgeon Raja Malamuddan brought to light - If there are any points on which further inquiry is necessary in the opinion of the 2nd Councillor, the Resy will please point it out - & about these 2nd Councillor The other points of particularity whether or not there was ill feeling between the Assistant & the A.S.D. Surgeon & whether the petitions were prompted by that feeling on either side and Resy remarks upon which if necessary Can be taken up separately

Resy 20/12/12

26 I do not see on what points any further Enquiry is necessary. — Esp so far as his conduct & or Raja Gopala Mudaliar is concerned. Submitted to the 2nd Councillor for his remarks.

23/3/10

SM 4.10.12

27 If there is nothing further

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

the home fries of the asst surgeon
there is no reason for more petitions
his record cannot be said to be
free from suspicion till we know
that the petitions were at the
instance of some one who was
under a misunderstanding with
the asst surgeon or that they are
the outcome of purely mischievous
tendency on the part of the petitioners
They have withdrawn all they have
said in the petitions

28) The bills were sanctioned
by the asst surgeons on 7.6.12
The reimbursed registered covers
bear the date 13.7.12 The asst
surgeons requisitions for the
return of this bills were on 14.7.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—85,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Medl File No. 69 of 1912

Serial No.

and moves them forwarded by a private letter to the Senior Surgeon saying that people are likely to complain agst. him being aware that he asked for the return of his bill. Mine feels do not blame his conduct.

29) The surgeon himself says that there is room for suspecting the surgeon's bona fides. Yet he comes to a different conclusion because his work is satisfactory.

30) I would like to see what the sanitary Insp. and the surgeon have to say on the allegations agst. them. The

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

Many thanks for the kind enquiries on the condition of the aerial surgeon and may also help us to know when the real treatment is

8/10/12

31) B/r (con

The asst Secy is requested to bring up in person

23
9/10

32) Draft do submitted

Read 30
11.11.12 (15) 33) See 4)

SPR
11.11.12

34) 2nd Counsellor & Dewan.

23
13/11/12

35) Draft approved by 2nd Counsellor

SPR 13.11.12

92
15-11-12 36) Dewan

23
14/11

37) Below 3) 19.11.12 from Panchakshariah praying for transfer of Mrs. E. Rajagopal Midwife from Davangere

SPR 15.11.12

38) Draft approved by the Dewan

24-11-1912

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—55,000—9-4-10

39) Draw

23
25/11

SPR 26.12.12

3734 (20

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Red File No. 59 of 1912-13.

Serial No.	
40	To the Offg Senior Surgeon. D.O No. 4515 dt 26.12.12
6	Requests him to institute further enquiries in consultation with the Dy Commr on the lines indicated and submit a detailed report for orders at as early a date as feasible.
41	D.O No. 43 dt 3.1.13 from the Offg Senior Surgeon
7	Requests return of ref cc, if the file forwarded with Sl 1
42	Submd with a draft returning the file.
	Approved 4.1.13
44	To the Offg Senior Surgeon D.O No 4702 dt 6.1.13. 4/1/13
8	Returns the file asked for in his D.O dt 3.1.13 for ref cc & requests its return when done with
45	D.O dt 5.1.13 from Mr. T.V. Arunagam Mudr Offg Senior Surgeon.
9	Enquires whether he could ask the Dy Commr Chitaldrug Dist to depute an Asst Commr to hold an enquiry into the Complaint against the Asst Surgeon, Davangere and submit to Govt the report called for in this office D.O No. 4515 dt 26.12.12

The file received back with D.O cc & Registered to be kept up with this. 8.1.1913

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 57 of 1912-13.

Serial No.

Subd.

Bm 61
6.1.13 (46) The Senior Surgeon was requested to institute further enquiries in consultation with the D.Y Com.r It was not the intention of Govt that the D.Y Com.r himself should hold a formal inquiry; & hence there is no necessity to depute an Ass.t Com.r formally for this purpose. The Senior Surgeon may be requested to conduct the inquiry in the manner that seems most suitable to him after consulting the D.Y Com.r

See 7) SPR 6.1.13

47) In his circumstances stated by the Senior Surgeon he may be allowed & requested his Dep.y Com.r to enquire into his matter either personally or through one of his assistant Comm.rs Please put up draft accordingly

13 7/1/13. SPR 7.1.13

Bm 61 7.1.13 48) Draft—Subd accordingly

Bm 61
8.1.13 (49) See 7) SPR 8.1.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—6,000—7-6-12.

3751 (22
4098

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Filed File No. 59 of 1912-13.

Serial No.

5a) 2nd Annexure

8/1 JBR

10 51) D.O no: 488 8.1.13 from the Offg Senior Surgeon Returning his Office File

52) Prop- Approved
Well
9/1/13

53) Issue
9/1 JBR 10.1.13

11 54) Letter ~~D.O no:~~ 487 4846 10.1.13 to the Senior Surgeon States that- Govt have no objection to the Dy Comm^rs, Chitaldrug Dists being requested to Conduct the enquiry in question either personally or through one of the ~~Dy~~ Asst Comm^rs in the Dists.

55) To the Dy Comm^rs, Chitaldrug Dists
Memo no:
Forwards Copy of the above

12 56) D.O no: 57 20.1.13 from the Offg Senior Surgeon Requests return of the file sent back vide his D.O no: 48 8.1.13.

57) Subm^d with a draft- D.O. ret^g the file.
Rm 61
20.1.13

58) Please put up clean draft and fair copy
21/1/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

~~4006~~ ~~4284~~ 4335 (23

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Recd File No. 59 of 1912–13.

Serial No.

59) Subtd with a clean draft of the D.O and a fair copy of the same for Signature.

Attn 61
21.1.13 (18) 60) Issue
YY
21/1/13

61) To Dr. J.V. Arumgam Hon Offg Senior Surgeon
13 D.O no. 5244 of 22.1.13.
Forward the file recd with his D.O no: 48 of 8.1.13.

14 62) D.O no: 72 of 23.1.13 from the same Officer Acknowledges with thanks the receipt of the file Sent to him with this Office D.O no. 5244 of 22.1.13.
Subtd for perusal & orders.

Attn 61
25.1.13 (18) 63) Seen YY
28/1/13

Gis

15 64) Petn from J. Shadakshara Appa & others.
Pray that the records re: T/a bills of Mr C. Rajagopala Hon Asst Surgeon be called for & Justice meted out to the delinquent.
The report of further enquiry by the Dy Comm^r Chitaldrug duly will, if appd, be awaited.

Attn 61
30.1.13 (18)
Yes YY
1/2/13

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

(24

[R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 59 of 1912-13.

Serial No.	
65/16	D·O No: 84 dt 4·2·13 from the Offg Senior Surgeon returns the file sent to him vide this office D·O dt 22·1·13. Sd/- [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—85,000—17-6-12.

(5) 13/2/13

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

Sl: 17

Petition of 4.2.13 to the address of the H'ble Dewan on the same subject by Ganesh Krishna Rao.

(66) The Dep[t] Sec[y] is requested to kindly forward the petn of the Dep[t] Conc[r] with a confidential ~~Doc~~ D.O. as asked for by the 2[nd] Commr

13/2/13

(67) As the DO contains the remarks of the Dewan Hon'ble Chancellor, necessary for reference here, Dept[l] need a copy of the petition with a D.O. if permitted.

D.Secy C/L

(68) Yes. please

13/2

(69) Sir- I have placed fair d.o. on the file. Keep copy dated 17, affix to it any signature needed to the copy of the pet. It must be despatched tomorrow

D.Secy 13/2

(70) To the Typing Section.

17.2.13 GPB—25,000—23-7-12

17/2

(71) Petition typed 15/2/13

15/2

6095 rec'd 13.2.13

Ans

D.S.C. 15/2/13

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

To The Dy Commr, Chitaldrug Dist

D.O No: 6095 dt 12.2.13

Forwards a copy of SL:17 for information.

SL:18

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med. File No. 87 of 1912

Serial No.

paper under disposal (a)

72) From the Senior Surgeon letter No. C. 66 dated 10-3-1913. Forwards the records of enquiry held by the Sub Divl. officer of Davangere (Mr. Rajalingachetty) and copy of letter No. 1228 dated 4-3-1913 addressed to him by the offg. Deputy Commr. Chitaldrug Mr. giving his opinion in the matter

enclosure below

19.

73) The previous history of the case is given in full in pages 1 to 9 of the notes. The complaint is from Panchamsteriah and Pandit Chenderasekhara Rao of Davangere about incorrect T.A. bills having been submitted by the Assistant Surgeon (Mr. C. Rajagopal) of Davangere. The statement in the records shows the various items of difference between (1) the T.A. bills for May 1912 first submitted by the Asst. Surgeon the incorrectness of which is complained of, and (2) the revised bill for the same month subsequently submitted. The Senior Surgeon reported in his letter C. 18 dated 16-9-1912 that he gathered from his enquiries and was fully convinced of the fact that there was great misunderstanding between the Tehsildar (Mr. Venkatasamiah) and the Assistant

Hag F. 1 (page 59 of this case)

paper under disposal (1)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GIP—65,000—17-C-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

Assistant Surgeon and that the petitions were got up by the Amildar through the instrumentality of the Sanitary Inspector Surgenarayana Rao and compounder Rama Rao of Davangere. No, however beyond the statements of the two complainants one of whom had admitted of his having Subd his petition at the instigation of and the draft petition prepared by the Sanitary Inspector Surgenarayana Rao no other evidence to connect the said Inspector or any evidence to implicate others were recorded, further report was called for as per orders of the Hon. 2nd Ex. The Senior Surgeon has now forwarded the records of enquiry held by the Sub-Division officer.

(pa pers 82 and 83)

paper 3 of the notes page (5). paper no 53

per 30 of the notes page 19

74) The Sub-Division officer Mr Rajaloa chetty has recorded the statements of the marginally noted persons on the dates specified against each and states he was unable to take down the statements of (1) Puchakshareappa 2) Samachar and (3) Sanitary Inspector Rajaoo Rao as they were absent at the time.

Names	Date of Examination
(Record 2nd part) pages 64 to 98 1) Assistant Surgeon	13·2·1913
91 to 97 2) Sanitary Inspector Surgenarayana Rao	14·2·1913
98 to 99 3) Sub Registrar Mr B(M) Ranganathaiah	15·2·1913.
100-101 4) Hospital Assistant, Balamma	16·2·13
102 to 104 5) Surgical coolie Sivajee	16·2·13.
138-139 6)	Do 15·2·13
140 7) Mr Abdul Razack	Do
103 to 107 8) Compounder Rama Rao	16 2 13
108 to 109 9) Mayakonda Veerappa	Do
110 to 115 10) M S Kondla Basappa	Do
116 to 119 NOTE—Comm. sheet. 11) Sanitary Inspector Nagesha Rao	17·2·13
120 to 137 12) [illegible] Sastri Rama Rao	Do

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mello File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

Record, Part II pages 64 to 90

75) The said Surgeon explains at length the reasons for animosity against him by the Mistdar, the two Sanitary Inspectors, the compounder, the two petitioners, Balappa and his brother Thukkappa; the loss of his diary caused during the confusion of his having had to evacuate sheds and to shift there owing to plague infection in his house and the consequent necessity to prepare his bills from memory and from the requisitions of the Revenue officers &c; how he became aware of the incorrectness of his bill subsequently; the furnishing of information to him by Veerappa (and the petitioners) about the complaint petitions against him and his consequent &c to the Senior Surgeon making reference to the complaints and soliciting enquiry; the temporary disappearance of the office copy of his first T.A. bill Basappa having informed the Senior Surgeon that the Mistdar was at the bottom and that a tape measure and that a type writing machine was borrowed from him for the purpose; about Suryanarayana Rao having confessed (orally) before the Senior Surgeon in presence of the Sub-Conservator Mr Nanasami Rao, Mr Chandy and others to the effect that a copy of the T.A. bill for May 12 was got from compounder by the Mistdar.

and its subsequent finding by the compounder

that

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

that a draft complaint was prepared by the Mildar, another copy having been taken by Surya narayana Rao at the Mildar's dictation that both the Sanitary Inspectors looked to in Balappa's Shop and got it type written by a Samachar clerk of Balappa and that the Sanitary Inspectors promised to commit the statements to writing and to submit them to the Senior Surgeon as directed.

98599 records

76) The Sub Registrar's statement is to the effect that the Mildar once abused him and a few others as a set of Rambugs saying that they were the authors of the articles in the Mysore Times which appeared against the Mildar; that the Mildar however made apologies to the Sub Registrar the next day, and that the accusations were directed against him (Sub Registrar), the Assistant Surgeon and the permanent way Inspector Krishna Rao Naidu.

91597

77) The Sanitary Inspector Suryanarayana Rao admits of his having been teaching the two sons of the Mildar for about an hour or so daily, denies all hand in the affair, and his having made any confessions to the Senior Surgeon. He however admits of his having replied to certain questions put by the Senior Surgeon, that he did not recollect what

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

what he had stated and that he failed to commit them to writing and to submit the same as he was directed to do so by the Senior Surgeon.

pages 100 to 104 record entries

78) The Hospital Midwife Balamma and the Surgical Coolie Sivajee both corroborate the Asst Surgeon about the missing of the office copy of the T.A. bill and say that it was not found on the 18th day of search by all of them including the Doctor's Asst Surgeon (and compounder also as per Sivajee's statement) but it was found on enquiry by the Asst Surgeon produced by the compounder on the next day saying that it was in the Sick (record) room mixed up with records

1035 to 107

79) The compounder admits the Sanitary Inspector Surganarayana Rao frequenting the Hospital, and of the records of the Hospital having been accessible to him but denies his having found or given the office copy of the T.A. bill which was missed. He further has stated that he was not sent for by the Amildar in connection with the T.A. bill but on account of some private affairs of the compounder, that he had requested the Amildar to fetch him from Bangalore a female cloth for his wife which request the Amildar complied

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mest. File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

Vees & 108 & 109

80) Veerappa admits of his having seen the two Sanitary Inspectors in Balappa's Shop reading a type written petn which they told when he saw them, and of his having informed this to the Assistant Surgeon.

81) Basappa admits of his having informed the Senior Surgeon about the Smildar being at the bottom and the others merely tools that he had gathered from public talk & that the Smildar on account of misunderstanding had set up his Subordinates and others to send petns against the Assistant Surgeon, that on two occasions his type writing machine was taken from his quarters by ~~Smildar & Peon~~ police constables; on the second occasion during his absence, falsely informing his quarters that he had himself sent for the machine; that he subsequently ordered his quarters not to lend the machine whoever might ask for it, that he heard that the Smildar and the two Sany Inspectors were typing petns against the Asst Surgeon; that neg the peons of the Smildar had brought 2 or 3 petns for his signature which he refused that the petitions which were brought to him were addressed one to the Dewan, one to the Senior Surgeon and two to complaints

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G I P—65,000—17-7-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.	
	that the Amildar had instigated some municipal councillors also to give evidence against the Asst Surgeon, and that the Amildar wanted to do some harm to the Assistant Surgeon.
116 to 119	82) The Police Inspector Nagesa Rao's evidence is to the effect that Chender Selchara Rao was suspected as having been the instigator of petitions addressed to the D.G. of Police at the time of certain alleged murder case at Shivapur and that of his (Chender Selchara Rao's) being known as writer of many more petitions against Govt officers.
(Aflix 6 Pde) 138 to 139	83) The Hospital peon Srinivasa has also stated on 15.2.1913 that he had seen (and heard from the evening of) the previous day the two Sanitary Inspectors and Chender Selchara Rao discussing about the evidence offered by Suryanarayana Rao before the Sub-Divl officer and that they stopped talking as soon as they were aware of his presence and walked on along with Nagappa.
140	84) one Abdul Razack has stated that on the 16th February about 7 P.M. he saw the two Sanitary Inspectors talking in Balappa Thekkappa's Shop, with Thekkappa and again at 8 P.M. talking in Chender Selchara Rao's house (verandah) with him.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P-1-0

G I P—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl. File No. 59 of 1912

12 & 13/

Serial No.

85) Chenda Sekhara Rao admits of his having subd the complaint petition at the instigation of Suryanarayana Rao and of his having gone to and signed the petn presented to him at Balappa Thukkappa's Shop where the Sany Inspector and Same chetty were present and says that as the pencil draft of the petn prepared by, and in the hand writing of Suryanarayana Rao which was with him Chenda Sekhara Rao and which was shown also and initialled by the Senior Surgeon messed soon after he had written a petition in the matter to the Senior Surgeon thinking that it might have got mixed up with the papers with the Senior Surgeon; that Inspr. Peelo Suryanarayana Rao for reasons stated of having managed to abstract the said draft from his (Chenda Sekhara Rao's) possession; that he learnt that about the 13th or 14th inst. when S. Rao had come to Davangere for enquiry, the said S. Rao was talking to Thukkappa about S. Rao's having written a letter to Balappa & some how or other obtained back the said draft and that Same chetty had replied that he (S. Rao) need have no anxiety on that account &

(February) 1913

O.T.S

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 57 of 1912

Serial No.

86) The points for orders in the present case are

A. Whether the Assistant Surgeon is guilty of anything more than mere neglect and carelessness in connection with his T.A. bill referred to and what punishment is to be meted out to him.

B. Whether the two Sanitary Inspectors (Surgunaraya and Rao and Rama Rao), the Compounder Rama Rao, and the Mistri were concerned directly or indirectly in the getting up of the complaint petition to Govt. and if so whether any, and what, notice has to be taken of it in the interests of official discipline.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65,000—17-6-12.

P.T.O

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl. File No. 57 of 1912

Assistant Surgeon's Serial No.

87) The points which seem to be against the Assistant Surgeon are.

(1) He admitted incorrectness of his T.A. Bill for May 1912.

(2) His failure to report the loss of his diary when the 1st bill was sent (No enquiry seems to have been made whether the loss of the diary was a fact.)

88) The points which appear to be in support of the Assistant Surgeon's explanations and in his favour are

(1) The temporary & missing of the office copy of the T.A. bill and its subsequent finding by the compounder are corroborated by the statements of the Hospital midwife and Surgical coolie. (para 78 of the notes)

(2) Furnishing of information to the Asst Surgeon by Veerappa and Chenderasekhara Rao about the complaint notes which is urged to account for the Assistant Surgeons private letter to the Senior Surgeon

Paras 50 and 55 of the notes

Para 25 recorded para 2 of the notes

(3) Chenderasekhara Rao's admission about the Samy Inspector Suryanarayana Rao concern in the complaint. (para 85 of the notes)

(4) Statements of the Sub Registrar and Basappa about the anti animosity against the Asst Surgeon by the Amildar. (paras 76 and 81 of the notes)

5) Steady work of the Asst Surgeon (cholera work) at the time

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GIE—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

taken on disposal (1) and para 8 of the rules

(6) The rendering of good work by the Asst Surgeon reported upon by the Senior Surgeon and referred to by the Secretary in para 18 of the rules.

(7) The difference between the amount of the 1st & revised bills being only Rs. 2-4-0.

(In this connection it is said that the original bill was for Rs 163-4-0 but would have been finally passed only for Rs 160-8-0 as daily allowance instead of mileage was substituted for two days for reasons stated. If the 1st bill had been finally passed the Asst Surgeon would have got 3 annas less, as the revised bills is for Rs 161-0-0.)

para 1 of the rules (taken on 2/records)

(8) when the 1st bill shows that only 20 villages have been visited, the revised one shows 28 villages (only one village out of the 1st incorrect bill being omitted whereas several new villages actually visited but omitted in the 1st bill having been added in the revised bills).

Compounder 89) There is no direct evidence of his having supplied a copy of the T.A. bills to the parties concerned in the complaint petitions or of his having taken any direct part in the affair. The points which seem to throw suspicion on him are.

(i) The assertions of the Asst Surgeon the Midwife and the Hospital cooly that the missing office copy of the T.A. bill was actually produced by

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

by the compounder, while the latter denies his finding of the missing bill.

(ii) The apparent inappropriateness of the reason assigned by the compounder for his having been sent for by the Assistant Surgeon and his interview with the Assistant

para 79 notes

Samy. Suryanarayana Rao } The evidence and circumstances against the Samy. Suryanarayana Rao are besides the allegations of the Assistant Surgeon -

(i) The statements of Chenduru Sekhara Rao

(ii) The evidence of Veerappa

(iii) The evidence of Basappa

(iv) His absence on one day's casual leave on the 16th July 1912 after leaving an application on the Assistant Surgeon's table

page 68 of the Records of enquiry Asst Surgeon's Statement

(v) His vague denial of having made any confession before the Senior Surgeon and his pleading of inability to state what his statements were, though he admits of his having been put some questions by the Senior Surgeon and his having replied them; and of his failure to commit them to writing though advised and willfully directed to do so by the Senior Surgeon. (In this connection it is noted that Messrs Chandy and Narasimha Rao

para 77 notes

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

Rao and others who are said to have been present at the time do not seem to have been examined as to the whole statements were made by the Sany. Inspector in their presences).

(vi) The warm interest he and Rapoo Rao is reported to have taken in interviewing the parties concerned in the enquiry by the Sub. Dy officer just at the time of enquiry, (reported upon by the Sub. Dy officer in his Do dated 17.2.1913 to the Dy Comr Mr Krishna Iyer) according to which the Sany Inspector Rapoo Rao is suspected to be the author of the last petn dated 4.2.1913 (subd to new enquiry

Hdg N. enclosed to paper under disposal (e)

Hdg (

S. Inspector Rapoo Rao

9(v) The points referred to in II, III and VI against Sany Inspector Suryanarayana Rao & Rapoo Rao also. The evidences of the Surgical person Sivaji and Abdul Razack are also against both the Sany. Inspectors in point of interest evinced by them in the matter.

Amildar

9(vi) Regarding the statement of Basappa referred to (para 8) of the notes, (e) the statement of the Sub Reg is that about the Amildar's insulting words directed against him the Asst Surgeon he, no other evidence is on record against the Amildar.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPH—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Medl File No. 59 of 1912

paper under disposal (1)

Serial No.

92) The Senior Surgeon in his letter No. 18 dated 16.9.12 submitted before the present further enquiry was held, had opined that (1) the Asst Surgeon may be severely censured and made to lose one fourth of his T.A. for May 12, (2) that the Samy Inspector Suryanarayana Rao may be awarded no less a punishment than dismissal and that (3) the Compounder may be degraded. The Deputy Comr in his letter No. 1228 dated 4.3.1913 to the Senior Surgeon forwarding the records of the further enquiry since held, states that in the interests of discipline and the morale of the Service as a whole, very severe notice should be taken of the conduct of all the Govt servants that were directly or indirectly connected in this affair that the Asst Surgeon's action should be decided on its own merits, and that severe punishment should be inflicted on the minor officials at least, viz the two Samy. Inspectors and the Compounder to produce a most wholesome and salutary effect on the whole of the subordinate Service and stand out as an effectual warning to others.

enclosure to paper under disposal (1)

26.3.1913.

27 By Secy

3/4/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—2,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No. 93

93) Please refer to the allegations against the Bundar on a routine note. Does not the pay of the Sanitary Inspectors, who appoints them. Date 4/4

94

94) Separate routine note Subh.ao directed according to G.O. No. 3517-27 dated Mlb 430-04-21 the 8-2nd May 1906 the responsibility of appointing Sanitary Inspectors rests in the Presidents of the Municipal and Dt Boards but the selection should be made from the list of qualified candidates which is said to be maintained in the Sanys Comrs office

95) The pay of the two Sany Inspectors are. Suryanarayana Rao Rs 32+10.
Rajoo Rao ——— 40+10
as ascertained from the Comptroller's office.

(18)
8.4.13 — 96) The complaint urged against the Asst Surgeon Mr C. Rajagopal Mudaliar to the effect that he submitted a travelling allowance bill containing incorrect or false entries is fully established. The incorrectness of the several entries is admitted by Mr R. Mudaliar himself who has submitted a revised bill differing from the

Flags. Y & N

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

original in details of inspection and places visited

97) There is no strong ground for supposing that the entries were false to his knowledge when they were made. He explains that the mistaken entries were occasioned by the loss of his diary; but in view of this circumstance he should have first verified his memory by reference to other papers and then prepared the T. S. Bill. But he unhesitatingly prepared it, displaying a certain amount of irresponsibility and indifference to correctness & accuracy and this requires

(1) orders of Govt. from disciplinary point of view.

98) The two Sanitary Inspectors and the Compounder played their own parts in getting up or assisting the complaints against the Doctor. Although the complaint is substantially made out, a conspiracy of this kind among the officials is hardly permissible.

one of the Sanitary Inspectors, who made a statement before the Senior Surgeon, now retracts it.

para 44 of the notes
page 96+97 of the records.
Flag I.

99) But Govt. need not decide these cases as the President of the D. B can deal with the Sanitary Inspectors & the S. Surgeon with the Compounder. We may be allowed to

(2) dispose of these cases suitably.

100) Lastly, some allegations made against

NOTE—Contd. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

the Buildar (Mr T. Vallataramaih) who was at Davanagere until recently seem to call for notice. Neglecting the causes of hostility between him & the A. Surgeon as savouring of personality, there are some statements affecting public interests & the conduct of the Buildar. They are as follows:—

(a) The Asst Surgeon says

(i) The Buildar allowed several encroachments unauthorizedly which the Asst Surgeon brought to the notice of the Board.

(ii) The Buildar was reported to have collected unauthorised monies from Patels & Shanbogues for repairing the temple at Kadlabalu.

(iii) The Buildar caused the compulsory evacuation of Kurki & the redistribution of the cleared portion among rich Lingayets.

(b) The Senior Surgeon reports:—

"I gather from my enquiry I was fully convinced of the fact that there was great misunderstanding between the Buildar & the Assistant Surgeon and that the petitions were got-up by the Buildar through the instrumentality of the Sanitary Inspector Suryanarayana Rao of Davanagere and Compounder Rama Rao

(3) 101 Details about (a) maybe called for from the Dy. Comm^r who may if need be, ascertain them

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

C-362

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl. File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

from the Asst Surgeon. on their receipt the Boulder may be called upon to explain his conduct regarding (a) & (b).

102) Necessary draft order will be put up after orders are passed on the points raised above: (3 points marked in pencil). As regards the point how the Asst Surgeon's conduct should be treated (1) by Govt, the Senior Surgeon makes the following remarks "From the explanations tendered by the Assistant Surgeon there is room for suspecting his bona fides". The amount of difference between the two bills being Rs 2-4-0 "I am disinclined to credit him of mala fides. He has however been in my opinion distinctly careless & negligent". "I think a severe warning with loss of one fourth of his travelling allowance for May 1912 will cure him of his carelessness & negligence".

Papers exceptional. (1) (para 2 of paper)

(para

Secy).

Dewan 9/4

103) 2nd Councillor

11/4

Dewan 11/4

104) The conduct of the Asst Surgeon in question in this appeal has been reprehensible, & may well lead to say the least of

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPH—20,000—20-1-12

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ind File No. 57 of 1912

Serial No.

Though I cannot bring myself to believe that he acted 'mala-fide', yet his own carelessness in preparing & submitting as T. A. bill, relying wholly upon his memory, is inexcusable. The omission on his part — not to state that he did so, when submitting an incorrect T. A bill throws some suspicion on his conduct. It is now over six years his opinion has been in Service & on his promotion occasion his conduct came called in question though once & twice he has been accused of being unscrupulous & wanting in tact. It is not likely that he would do wrong now when his antecedents are unquestionable. After all the small difference discovered between the two bills viz Rs 1200 & Rs 1200 in which last no bad motive when he prepared & submitted his first bill. That he has made enemies of his subordinates is understood. And equally so is the fact that they took hold of this opportunity & victimised him. It brought out in the enquiry, some how a conspiracy & it is alleged the Brindaban Raj Bar. D. Kanhaiyaramik has been at its head, no wonder that so much fuss

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5368

45

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Secret File No. 59 of 1912

Serial No.

has been made of the appeal which Shamsul Ulma has been pleased to prefer against me - the object of the complainant was to cause a sensation & bring home false accusations against me.

(105) As regards the Ex-Inspector, I would cause a severe reprimand to be administered to him upon also with a warning that similar instances of disloyal conduct due to whatever cause, will be taken serious notice of & I will in addition impose on him a fine of 25 p.c. of the amount of his salary for the month

(106) Now for the Muslims who have been responsible for this appeal & therein are alleging unfounded charges against the Ex-Inspector "have any foundation in truth are unable to produce any proofs in support of their allegations: which by many witnesses is held

(107) As regards the conduct of the two local Inspectors & the complainant - viz. President of the D.F.A. & Ex-Inspector respectively are complained & notice themselves of by them.

(108) It seems very desirable that this medical officer should be transferred from his present station as the continuance of his services at this place is an obstacle to the work of pacification possible opportunity & the Ex-Inspector may be asked to submit proposals

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

109 B iv
Please put up separately

GPB—85,000—17-6-12

15/4/13

Wells

18/4

18/4

536

46

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 89 of 1912

Serial No.

10.
Draft Subd
24.4.13. 111 The draft will be typed after approval by the Dy Secy
25/4/13
Dy Secy)

112 Asstt Secy will kindly condense the draft as much as possible. Draft has taken much trouble and the draft gives too many details & is therefore too long.
26/4

113 Revised draft is herewith subd.
28/4/13
Dy Secy)

114
I am sorry I have had to condense the draft still further
A tidy draft may now be put up. Secy.
7/5

115
Fair draft Subd
7.5.13
8/5/13
Dy Secy)

116
Draft as per paras 101 to 108 is put up.
2nd Commr
& Dewan)
8/5

117 Draft approved
9/5/13

Dewan

118
Draft approved by Dewan 13.5.13

2980
10.5.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—85,000—17-6-12.

14/5 Secy 14/5 119 Issue
14/5/13

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Red File No. 59 of 1912—13.

Serial No.	
20	To the Senior Surgeon, the Dy Commr, Chamlamp Distt, the Rev: Commr & the Comptroller G.O No: 9108-11 dt 16.5.13. Passes orders on the Complaint against Mr C. Rajagopala Mudr Asst Surgeon with refce to the report of enquiry recd with Sl 19.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G.R.S. 13J

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc.; not intended for permanent record.]

The allegations against the Amildar
the Venkatarangiya referred to
in the records are the following:-

1) The Assistant Surgeon in his
Statement dated 13.2.1913 made
before the Sub Dee officer refers
pages 77 to 84 records - in detail to the several causes which
animated the Amildar to have
bear a grudge against the Assistant
Surgeon. They are briefly stated below

(a) While the Amildar desired through
the Sanp Inspector Bapoo Rao the
immediate vacation of the plague shed
occupied by the Taluk Sheristadar who
was suffering from plague, the
Asst Surgeon interceded on behalf
of the patient and got permission of
the President for the patient remaining
in the Shed.

Explained Satisfactorily

(b) Several unauthorised encroach-
ments allowed by the Amildar
without the knowledge and per-
mission of the Mb Board, which
the Asst Surgeon had brought to
notice the said encroachments.

(c) Three articles which had appeared
in the Mysore Times. (It is not stated
on what date and year) against Amil-
dar, in one of which mention had
been made of the unauthorised
collection made during the polgi
distribution from patels and shanbhogs
on the pretence of repairing the temple
at Kadlanalu. It is stated that
the Asst Surgeon is thought was suspected by

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

P. P. B—25,000—25-7-12

(49) (2

G.R.S. 13

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

by the Amildar as the author of the articles and the Sub Surgeon as having furnished information to the Sub Reg to her.

b) the compulsory evacuation of a portion of a certain village (Kurki) on the northern boundary of the Amildar and the redistribution of the cleared portion among the well to do Lingayets the injustice of which was brought to notice by the Sub Surgeon to the Sub Divisional Asst Court

3

Pages 98 to 99 2) Sub Reg to her's Statement dated 15-2-13 about the Amildar having used insulting words directed against the Sub Registrar, Asst Surgeon and another, on the ground that they were concerned with the articles that had appeared in the Kesari Paper and the subsequent offering of apology to the Sub Registrar by the Amildar

3) The Statement of Basappa given in detail in page 31 (para 81) by the notes - about Amildar having been at the bottom of the complaint petition the others being mere tools about how his typewriting machine was taken away from his quarters on two occasions, about three petitions having been brought to him by the

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.P.B.—25,000—23-7-12

50. 3

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

Amildar's peon for affixing his Signature which he however refused to sign and about the Amildar's having instigated some Municipal councillors to give evidence against the Sub Surgeon and of the Amildar's deliberation to do some harm to the Sub Surgeon

4) paper under 1)

The Senior Surgeon in his report dated 18-9-12 (para 3) states "I gathered from my enquiry and was fully convinced of the fact that there was great misunderstanding between the Amildar and Sub Surgeon and that the petns were got up by the Amildar through the instrumentality of the Sanitary Inspector Suryanarayana Rao of Davangere and compounder Rama Rao"

8/4/13

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—25,000—23-7-12.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Office of Senior Surgeon, Mysore Govt.

D.O.No. C. 18 Dated, Bangalore, 16th Septr. 12.

17

Enc: one file

My dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O. No. 91 dated 23rd July 1912, I beg to intimate that I instituted personal enquiries into the allegations made against Assistant Surgeon Mr. Rajagopaul Moodr., of Davangere, by two persons viz,- Pandit Chendrasekhara Rao and Panchakshariah.

1. The discrepancies brought to notice by these two men are, as will be seen from a perusal of the Assistant Surgeon's travelling allowance bills, in the main true.

2. From the explanations tendered by the Assistant Surgeon, there is room for suspecting his bona-fides.

3. Considering however the fact that the amount by which he is likely to have benefitted by submitting a wrong bill, is very small viz,- Rs 2-4-0 and that he has omitted in the bill a number of villages he actually visited and in which he did afford medical aid to Cholera-stricken patients,

I

2

D. O. No. A. IV 2377 76

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Camp ~~Jail~~ Arsikere 24-~~Jan~~ Jan 1912

20/1

Sir

With D.O. No. 91/Conf. 2/petitions & Kunigal 23rd Jan 1912 -

In reference to the above I beg to state for the information of the Assistant that the original T.A. bills of Appasangeya & B. Rajagopala Murthy of Channagere are with me.

B

Cash
D.D. No. 91
En 2 petitions

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Bangalore, 23rd June 1912.

My dear Sir

I am directed to send you herewith for favour of enquiry and report at an early date the enclosed petition from one Pandit Chandrasekhara Rao of Davangere praying for payment of arrears of pension alleged to be due to his father late Nagappa Pandita as Sanskrit

(Subsequent petition received from the same gentleman under date 20th July 1912, stating that his original petitions with the 2 Rs. stamps affixed have been tampered with in the Post Office).

* * * * * * * * *

The petition is a genuine one and the facts therein are all true facts which if your Honor should enquire without further delay, I am prepared to prove to your satisfaction.

It will not be out of place to mention that the Medical officer is an influential man who is a great friend of the local and Government officers. As such there is every probability that he will seek his way to escape in this connection, as he is acquainted with the complaint petition of the 13th instant which he has received through the Post Office. If the Medical officer should secure the bills I am afraid my evidences will not be so satisfactory. It is therefore my humble request that you should secure the bills immediately or my petition will turn out to be a false one.

I beg to approach you once more with my humble petition (copy of the original) duly signed and affixed with court fee stamp for Rs.2 and to request that immediate steps may be taken. I have a great suspicion that the T.A.Bills may also be tampered with.

Finally I beg to say that the petition is made to Your Honor, not for my personal interest, but only to show to you, the chaat of a Govt., Officer of rank, as a loyal subject of theGovt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

I beg etc.,

Sd. Chandrasekhara Rao, Pandit.

Davangere.

(Subsequent petition received from the same gentleman under date 20th July 1912, stating that his original petitions with the 2 Rs. stamps affixed have been tampered with in the Post Office)

* * * * * * * * *

The petition is a genuine one and the facts therein are all true facts which if your Honor should enquire without further delay, I am prepared to prove to your satisfaction.

It will not be out of place to mention that the Medical officer is an influential man who is a great friend of the local and Government officers. As such there is every probability that he will seek his way to escape in this connection, as he is acquainted with the complaint petition of the 13th instant which he has received through the Post Office. If the Medical officer should secure the bills I am afraid my evidences will not be so satisfactory. It is therefore my humble request that you should secure the bills immediately or my petition will turn out to be a false one.

I beg to approach you once more with my humble petition (copy of the original) duly signed and affixed with court fee stamp for Rs.2 and to request that immediate steps may be taken. I have a great suspicion that the T.A.Bills may also be tampered with.

Finally I beg to say that the petition is made to Your Honor, not for my personal interest, but only to show to you, the chaat of a Govt., Officer of rank, as a loyal subject of theGovt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

I beg etc.,

Sd. Chandrasekhara Rao, Pandit.

Davangere.

5

(Court fee stamps for two rupees are affixed).

Davangere,
July 13th 1912.

To,

T.Ananda Row, Esq., C.I.E.,
Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

Most respected sir,

Kindly permit me to bring to your notice the fraud on Government made by Mr.C.Rajagopal B.A., M.B.C.M.,Asstt Surgeon, Davangere, by making false travelling bills and cheating Circar of large amounts.

i. On 27th April 1912, he went to Kerenahalli on cholera duty halted at Aniji in the Patel's house for the night, went next morning to Huchangidurga in Bellary Dist outside the Province without permission and halted there for meals and returned to Davangere on the 28th evening. He hides the dates and claims mileage as if going and coming on 28th alone.

ii. On the 9th May 1912 he never went to Bhavihal which is three miles from Mayakonda Railway station, he has charged mileage to that village falsely as if going and coming by road.

iii. On the 10th May 1912 he nver went to Dindadahalli which is only about 2 miles from Mayakonda station and has falsely charged mileage going on the 11th May by train, he saw Bhavihal Dindadahalli, Honnayakanahalli & Annapura, which are near each other returned the same day to head-quarters; but falsely inserted one village for each day, viz., 9th Bhavihal, 10th Dindadahalli, 11th Honnayakanahalli and 12th Annapura and charged for all

hiding railway journey and claiming 4 mileages for one trip.

iv. On the 18th 1912 he was in Angode Travellers' Bungalow for the night having visited Agasanakatte and Pavadranganahalli. In the T.A.Bill, he says went to Kodaganur and back. The villages he saw on the 18th, he has charged mileage for three days, viz., 20th Anagode, 21st Pavadranganahalli, 22nd Agasanakatte. So entries from 18th to 22nd are all false.

v. On the 4th May 1912 he never went to Kolenahalli but on a different date with Police Inspector. On the 19th this gentleman saw Thurchgutta and Hadadi about 1½ miles from each other. To get more money he charged separately for the 19th and 23rd May to Hadadi.

As Your Honor loves justice and hate such swindlers of Government, I beg to request that the Assistant Surgeon be taken for duty in Bangalore by wire before the enquiry begins. The diary of other officers and local enquiry will show how far this is true.

He had been to Medleri in Ranibennur Taluk and halted there for one night, without permission and this cannot be hidden.

The Bills may be made to disappear and this Your Honor has to secure at once whereever they may be.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient and humble servant

Sd. Chandrasekhara Rao, Pandit.
Davangere.

Dewan's order
To secy to Submission
& papers. 4 aug. 12
25/11/12

DI 3810

1

10/2-10/3
Secretariat Mail
E No 59/5/12
SERIALS 4

Davangere .

November I9th I9I2.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of Receipt 7.1.13
129

The file was subd to Dewan & since orders passed thereon. The petn may be forwarded to Bh for being placed on file -
28/12/12
Bh 7/1/13

M. Visvesvarayya Esq. C.I.E.
Dewan of Mysore.

Bangalore

Rebpected Sir.

I , the undersigned respectfully beg to bring to your kind notice , that a pitition was made to T AnandaRoa.Esq C.I.E: Late Dewan in respect of The Assistant Surgeon Of this place

It was on the I3th July I9I2 that the complaint pitition was made , in which details of his false travelling Allowance billsfor the months of April and May I9I2 were represented .

Court fee stamps for Rs 2/- were affixed to the pitition. Unfottunately the pitition was tampered with in transit , and the con-tents were found to be blank sheetes of paper .

Subsequently similar pititions were made duly affixing court fee stamps and the receipt thereof has been graciously acknowledged in your Office letter D.O.No 633/I of I9th July I9I2.

On the I9th August I9I2 Mr Achyuth Roa arrived here in cource of his tour to enquire into the matter , in a way prejuditial to the pititions . He took no action to find out if the alligations were true . Particulars of his enquiry as far as known has been represented in the letterdated 24th August I9I2 .

It is my sincere prayer that the complaint is not made in self interest but in bonofide public interest , representing the frau-dulent actions of a Gezsetted Officer againgt the State of which he eats thesalt and in whom the general body of Public and the Government as a whole expect Honesty .

I see no action has yet been taken in the matter . Ever since he learnt of the complaint made against him , the Medical Ocfficer first managed to tamper with the complaint pititions which though self evident not possible to be proved

Secondly he influenced the Investigating Officer giving the colour of a mistake to a flagrant cheat

Thirdly he has so influenced the present Sub Division Officer and the Amildar , who give ready ear to his statements . He interferes in all matters , not concerning his proffession and suggests things ever un--favourable to the public and public Interest . He further sees that his opinions prevail .

A confidential Enquiry of the leading men of this Town will show how far this is true .

Ever since the departure of Mr Achyutha Roa from this place he is mocking at the people in public and private that , what they have complained has prooved harmless to him and he would stay here for another five years to see that each and every body he hates put to diffi culties through the help of the Sub Division Officer , his thickes t friend .

I therefore place these few lines before your Honour in whom Pure Justice is known to dwell with a firm belief and humble request that the same and the file referred to therein will be kindly perused and Justice done in the matter .

If your Honour should think that it is unworthy of a citizen to bring to light such Frauds you may at least consider about the presen t state of affairs of the Town . Until the Gentle man is shifted from this place I humbly beg to suggest the Town will grow from bad to worse , and the mischief he does here will never reach the ears of Higher Authoritie s --so awstruck are the people here

I therefore humbly request that my prayers will be heard and favourble orders in the matter issued .

I humbly beg to be ,

Respected Sir ,

Your most Obedient Humble and Loyal Citizen ,

From

Thirukappanavar Panchakshariah , ತಿರುಕಪ್ಪನವರ ಪಂಚಾಕ್ಷರಿಯ್ಯ

Davangere

G. R. S. 26]

9

To the Senior Surgeon in Jaffna

File No. 59

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to

Confidential

No. 4515
med 5-9-12-6
9 26.12.12

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter No C 18 dated 16th/17 September 1912, reporting on the allegations made by two persons, Pandit Chandra-Sekhara Rao & Panchakenaiah against Asst. Surgeon Mr Rajagopala Mudaliar of Davanagere, I am desired to state that the main point for decision is whether Mr R. Mudaliar prepared the false bill for ~~June~~ May 1912

over.

Date of issue 26.12.12

Signed by Inv KRS.

SUBJECT.

Copy 26/12

10

intentionally or not. So far as the read of enquiry forwarded with your D.O. letter goes, it cannot be presumed that the preparation of the incorrect bile was unintentional. The Stat[n] of the Ass[t] Surgeon is as you remark, not satisfactory, and the tampering with the Reg[d] Cover & the request of the Ass[t] Surgeon for the return of the bile throw strong suspicion against him. It is necessary to ascertain clearly whether the petitions against him were the result of the misunderstanding between him & the other officials at Dawangere, as stated in your letter or only due to the any personal bad feeling entertained by the pet[rs] towards him. ~~Further~~ ~~enquiries may kindly be instituted regarding~~

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

G. R. S. 26]

11

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue

Signed by

To

~~this matter~~ Govt would also like to ~~have~~ see what the San.y Inspector & the Compounder have to say regarding the allegations against them. I am desired to request you therefore to institute further enquiries in consultation with the Deputy Com on the lines indicated above and submit a detailed report ~~to Govt~~ at an early ~~Early~~ date as may be possible.

3. Govt desire that such matters should be dealt with very promptly.

24.12.12

Ro

Sol 13/4 Sec

over.

SUBJECT.

14/12/12

12

D. O. No. 43

3.7.34

12 1913

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON AND
SANITARY COMMISSIONER WITH THE GOVERNMENT
OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 3rd January 1913.

marked Confidential

My dear Sir, 5

I shall thank you to kindly cause the return of the file of papers sent to you with this office D.O.No.C.18 dated 16-9-1912, re the travelling allowance bills of Asstt Surgeon Mr. C. Rajagopaul Moodr., of Davangere, as the same is required for reference.

The file will be returned as early as possible.

I am,

Yours sincerely,

Srinivasa Iyengar

3/1/13

4.1.1913

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to Government,
Gen and Rev Deptts., Bangalore.

6.1.13

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 59-12

Serial No, 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 3734

No. 4702 / Med 59-12-8 9/6/13

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Med)
, the 19 .

Date of issue

Signed by

To My Dear Sir,

The file received with your office
No: C 18 dt. the 16th Sept'12 re:
Complaint against Mr. C. Rajagopaul
Mdr. in respect of certain travelling
allowance bills, is returned herewith
as desired in your D. O No: 439
dtd 3rd January'13 for perusal and
kind return when done with it

Regretted Yours Sincerely

Sd

For Secy

To. Rao Bahadur T.V. Arumugam Mudr
Esq I
Offg Senior Surgeon.

over.

SUBJECT.

Offg 6/1 to Supt 1&2

14

Sir
Please put
up reply as soon
as possible
Bangalore
5-L-1913
Dear Sir
D. 3751
ed 5/7/12 9

With reference to your
D.O dated the 26th of June 12
regarding some allegations
made against the Asst
Surgeon of Davangere
I request to be informed
if I could visit that Dy.

15

D. O. No. 48

Enc: one file sent herewith

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 8th January 1960

M059-12&10

Dear Sir,

The file sent with your D.O.No. 4702/Med.59-12 dated the 6th Instt., re: complaint against Mr. C. Rajagopaul Moodr., in respect of certain travelling allowance bills, is herewith returned with thanks, duly sealed.

Yours sincerely,

B IV - 8/SPV S.LV

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to Government,
G & R Deptts., Bangalore.

1/1163 16.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 54-12

Serial No. 11

Diary No. of letter replied to 3751

No. 4846
med 59-12-11
9/10.1.13

General and Revenue Secretariat
(med)
, *the* 191 .

To

The Offg Senior Surgeon

Date of issue 10.1.13.

Signed by Mr SDR

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 5th January 13, I am directed to state that ~~in the~~ circumstances represented by you Govt have no objection to the Deputy Commr bearing of the Chittaldrug Dists being requested to ~~require~~ make the enquiry in connection with the travelling allowance bills of the Asst Surgeon Mr C. Rajagopal Mudr, either

over.

SUBJECT.

17

necessary personally or through one of the Asst Commrs in the districts.

I have &c

for Secretary.

Rdm 61
8.1.13.

Memo returned

9/10 1.13.

Copy forwarded to the Agy Commee, Chitaldrug & Asst for information.

9/1/13

By order

for Secy

Rdm 61
8.1.13.

List of enclosures.

Copied by PG. 16/1

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

18

CONFIDENTIAL. D4098.

Office of Senior Surgeon, Mysore Govt.

D.O.No. 57 Dated, Bangalore, 20th January 1913.

Rec'd 59-1288:N

Dear Sir,

The file returned with my D.O.No.48 dated 8-1-1913, re complaint against Mr. C.Rajagopaul Moodr., in respect of certain travelling allowance bills, may be kindly caused to be sent to me, as the same is wanted by the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, who has been asked to make the necessary enquiries.

Yours sincerely,

Srinivasagam

D.IV
Please call for
B.Sec
20/1/13

20/1/13

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
General & Revenue Deptts., Bangalore.

19

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 59-12

Serial No. 13

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Ge 1 file

No. 5244

med 59-12-13

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19. .

Date of issue 22.1.13.

Signed by Mr DJR.

To

My Dear Sir, 22.1.13.

As desired in your D.O no: 57 of the 20th instant to the address of the General and Revenue Secretary to Govt, I am directed to send herewith your office file Complaints against Mr C. Rajagopal Head Asst Surgeon, received vide your D.O no: 48 of the 8th idem.

Yours Sincerely

Draft

21/1/13

To Rao Saheb Dr. T. V. Arunagiri Avl. Head

Office Senior Surgeon.

Rubt 21.1.13.

over,

SUBJECT.

20

D4234

Office of Senior Surgeon, Mysore Govt.

D.O.No. 72 Dated, Bangalore, 23rd January 1913.

Med file 59-12 Ge:14

Dear Sir,

I acknowledge with thanks the receipt of the file re complaint against Assistant Surgeon Mr. C. Rajagopaul Moodr., in respect of certain travelling allowance bills, sent under cover of your D.O. letter No.5244/Med. 59-12 dated the 22nd Instant.

Yours sincerely,

T. Govinda Rao, Esquire,
Assistant Secretary
to Govt., Bangalore.

DIARY 4335

10/2-10/13

SECTION Medl

FILE. 59-12

15

DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Date of Receipt 11.1.13

Petn. Regr. No 264

To

M. Visvesvarayya Esq., C. I. E.,

Dewan to the Government of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

****

May it please your Excellency,

The humble petition of Shadaksharappa, Virupakshappa and other merchants of Davengere respectfully sheweth:--

1. That the citizens of Davengere who are law-abiding and peaceful are put to great inconvenience and hardship by the local Asst. Surgeon forming a small official clique to harass and fleece the public in Municipal and other matters;

2. That the Asst. Surgeon had prepared false T. A. Bills and submitted them to Comptroller. Some were cashed and some were about to be cashed, when the matter was brought to light by a disinterested citizen three months ago;

3. That the Senior Surgeon held a perfunctory enquiry and was more desirous of pleasing his subordinate than bringing him to his senses;

4. That the said Asst. Surgeon is growing more and more high-handed and his actions remain unchecked; and

5. That the Heads of Departments instead of creating a whole-some fear in their subordinates encourage them to be more and more nefarious by such undeserved leniency.

We pray that Your Excellency so well-nursed in just poli-tics be pleased to call for the records in the case and mete out justice to the delinquent, if you are satisfied that there has been fraud, upon Government.

We beg to remain,

Your Excellency's obedient and loyal subjects,

Sd. -
T. Shdhaksharappa --
" K. Virupakshappa --
" W. Mallesappa -- --
" B. Adveppa -- -- --

D4507

Office of Senior Surgeon, Mysore Govt.,

D.O.No. 84 Dated, Bangalore, 4 Febry 1913.

Enc: 1

My dear Sir,

Med 59-12 84:16

The confidential file, regarding complaint against Assistant Surgeon Mr. C.Rajagopaul Mudaliar of Devengere in respect of certain travelling allowance bills, received with D.O.No.5244 Med 59--12 dated the 22nd January 1913 is returned herewith with thanks.

Yours sincerely,

K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Govt., of H.H.the Maharaja
of Mysore, General & Revenue Deptts., Bangalore.

Davangere 4. 2. 1913

To 2 W Councillors
Confidential
per favour

D 4690
6.2.13

M. Visweswariah Esqr. C I E.
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore

Most Respected Sir

Pol 59-12.8:17

We the Town People of Davangere most respectabully and humbly beg to bring following few lines to your benign consideration with a hope that necessary Steps will kindly be taken in the matter

Some of your loyal Subjects of this Town had brought to your kind notice the irregularities in the management of Local Fund Hospital of this place and also had reported about the false T.A. Bills of Assistant Surgeon Mr C Rajagopal We are sorry that No. Steps have been taken yet

We had many a time wired to know the result and Senior Surgeon had the Kindness to come and enquire in the matter. Since enquiry nothing is known about the result

The assistant Surgeon with the help of the Assistant Commissioner is doing lot of mischief in the Town and Demands money for each and every case that go to Hospital irrespective of Poor and rich

24

6095

Bangalore
12. 2. 13

Med 59-12 8:18

My dear Mr Krishna Iyer

I am directed to forward the accompanying copy of a petition received here for your information in connection with the enquiry that it is understood to be going on in your District.

Yours sincerely.

(Sd) V. Nanjunda Rao
Dy Secy

"
Copy

25

Davangere, 4th February 1913

Recd with the D/Sy Secretary's D.O. 6095 dt 12th Feby 1913 for my information. M.V.

M. Visvesvaraya, Esq., C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

Most respected sir,

We the towns-people of Davangere most respectfully and humbly beg to bring following few lines to your benign consideration with a Hope that necessary steps will kindly be taken in the matter.

Some of your loyal subjects of this town had brought to your notice the irregularities in the management of Local Fund Hospital of this place and also had reported about the false travelling allowance bills of Assistant Surgeon Mr. C. Rajagopal. We are sorry that no steps have been taken yet.

We had many a time wired to know the result and Senior Surgeon had the kindness to come and enquire in the matter. Since enquiry nothing is known about the result.

The Assistant Surgeon with the help of the Assistant Commissioner is doing lot of mischief in the town and demands money for each and every case that go to hospital irrespective of poor and rich.

The clients will be always waiting at doors of Assistant Surgeon instead of waiting at the Assistant Commissioner Court (II Class Magistrate Court).

The Assistant Surgeon has taken mean advantage of the Friendship Assistant Commissioner. No Justice will be made if enquiries are held by Revenue Department of this District. The Assistant Surgeon has ready made necessary arrangements to save him from this dire calamity. The Assistant Surgeon tom-toms in the town saying that Davangere people have made him shell out Rs. 2,000 hard cash to get out of this case and so he wants to recoup this amount with compound interests. The meaning of this above sentence can be explained itself. Of late he has become a monster to this town and so we the most loyal subjects of your province humbly beg your honour to do us justice by going in detail in the above false bill affair- for which act of kindness we shall ever be grateful

grateful and pray for God to give you sound health and long life to do always justice to His Highness Maharaja's subjects and your beloved country.

We beg to remain,

honoured sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant,

Sd. Ganesh Krishna Rao

COPY

Thommidathur

for Offg. Secretary to Government.

T.S

No. D.C. 66
Encs. 18

Office of Senior Surgeon, Mysore Govt.,

Dated, Bangalore, 10.3.1913.

DIARY NO. 5368

Confidential.

10/2-10/3

59-12

19

From

P. S. Achyuta Rao, Esquire,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

To

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq.. M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Deptts.,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Ref: Your D.C.No 4515/Med 59-12 dated 26-12-12.

I have the honor to forward the enclosures for favor of orders of the Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. S. Achyuta Rao

Senior Surgeon, Mysore Government.

From

THE SENIOR SURGEON

WITH THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Bangalore.

To

THE SECRETARY

TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

G. & R. DEPTTS., BANGALORE.

Dated 10.3.1913

Despatched 11.3.1913

Received

General No. C.66

No.

Enclosure No. 8

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the Records of the enquiries (in original) held by Dr. T. Rayalu Chetty in connection with the Dr's bills of Asst. Surgn Dr. C. Rajagopaul Proof of Demurrage.

28

Letter No. 1228 dated 4th March 1913 from the Offg Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug, to the Senior Surgeon, M.G.

With reference to the Offg General and Revenue Secretary's D.O. No. 4515/Med. 59-12 dated 26th December 12 to the address of the Offg Senior Surgeon and in continuation of my confidential letter No. 1085 dated 2nd February 1913, I beg to forward herewith the records of the enquiries (in original) held by Mr. Revaloo Chetty, the Sub Division Officer of Davangere received with his D.O. letters of the 17th and 18th February 1913.

A perusal of these records clearly shows the misunderstanding that existed between the Assistant Surgeon Mr C. Rajagopaul Moodr., and the officials at Davangere and the part played by each of them viz,- the Amildar Mr. T. Venkataramiah, the Sanitary Inspectors Suryanarayana Rao & Baboo Rao and the Compounder Rama Rao. We may leave out of our consideration altogether the petition writers Messrs Chandrasekhara Rao and Panchakshariah.

In the interests of discipline and the morals of the Service as a whole I am of the confirmed opinion that some very severe notice should be taken of the conduct of all the Government servants that were directly and indirectly concerned in this affair..

This of course will have no bearing on the case of Mr. C. Rajagopaul Moodr., which the Government must decide on its own merits.

The conduct of the minor officials - the Sanitary Inspectors Suryanarayana Rao and Baboo Rao and the Compounder Rama Rao is bad enough and some severe punishment inflicted on them at least will in my opinion produce a most wholesome and salutary effect on the whole of the subordinate service and stand out as an effectual warning to others.

"COPY"

T. V. Ramayyadas

Senior Surgeon, Mysore State.

16/2/13 Davangere
16-2-13

Respected Sir

I write to inform you that after I left the Town Hall on the evening of the 14th Inst I met Sanitary Inspector Babu Rao coming from the direction of the Rly station I met him near Veerapakshi Shetty's Chatram in front of the Taluk Kutchery & questioning him as to where he

30

Shrosbury
dated 16.2.13

17.2.13 Respected Sir,

In obedience to your kind d.o. of the 15th instant, I beg leave to state that Sanitary Inspector Babu Rao is granted 5 days casual leave from yesterday on urgent private work as personally represented by him. His leave application is sent to you separately for kind approval with report. No 399 dated 15/2/13

With due respects,

31

Confdl

Davangere
17 Feb 1913

Dear Sir: Krishna Iyer

Received 20/2/13.

With reference to your memo No: 6095 dated the 14th inst. forwarding the Deputy Secretary's letter and its enclosure I beg to bring the following to your kind notice :—

There is no one who goes by the name of Ganesh Krishna Rao in this place. The contents of the pseudonymous communication addressed to the Dewan and the reference made therein to previous petitions and messages prove clearly go to show that the present

32

Camp Ramagere
18 February 1913

Received
Dec
21/2/13.

Dear Sir

With reference to your D.O. letter of the 31st ultimo I beg to submit for your kind perusal the statements of Assistant Surgeon M. C. Rajagopal Mudeliar and several other persons examined by me in connection with Mr. Rajagopal Mudeliars case. The statements of almost all the parties concerned in it have been taken with the exception of the following:-

1. Sowcar Shadakshariappa brother of Panchakshariappa who is reported to have gone to Dharwar for his marriage

G.R.S. 28]

Taking notice about the incorrect T.A bills prepared by Mr C Rajagopal Medaliar Asst Surgeon of Davangere.

1575/13

B 1525

File No. 59-12

Serial No. 20

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 16.5.13

Signed by

READ—

Petitions dated 13th July 1912 and subsequent petns from Chendra Sekhara Rao and Panchakshariah complaining against the ~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~ Asst Surgeon Mr C Rajagopal Medaliar of Davangere of having submitted the travelling Allowance bills containing false & incorrect entries.

2) ----- Correspondence ending with

over.

SUBJECT.

21

with letter No C. 66 dated 16th March paper was
1913 from the Senior Surgeon re-
porting with reference to the above
that the discrepancies brought
to notice by the petitioner in res-
pect of the T.A. bill for May 1912
finally submitted by the Asst Surgeon,
are in the main true and forward-
ing with his remarks, the records
of enquiry held by him and the
sub. Dee officer Mr T. Royale
chetty in the matter.

3. The records of the case.

Order thereon. 9108-11 mid 59-12 — 16.5.13.

The incorrectness of the
General entries in the final T.A. paper no 21. Ver sera in
bill for May 1912 is admitted by
the Asst Surgeon himself who
has submitted a revised bill differing 7 less N paper.
from the original in dates of
inspection and places visited
explaining that the mistakes
were occasioned by the loss of
his diary and by his having had

GPE—20,000—2-10-11

therefore

late Amildar of Davangere, helped the formation of a clique against the former and that the Sanitary Inspectors Surya narain Rao and Babaa Rao and compounder Rama Rao were actively drawn into it. Besides, Sanit Inspector Surya narain Rao who made an important statement before the Senior Surgeon subsequently retracted it. These are matters which the President of the D.C. Fund Board and the Senior Surgeon respectively are competent to take due notice of and it is therefore needless for Govt to dwell upon them further

3. ___ As regards the Amildar Mr T. Venkataramanaya Govt consider that further enquiry is needed to test the correctness of the allegations made against him by the Asst Surgeon and Doddi Basappa in the statements

made

O ... 114 to 118

32

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

therefore to prepare the bill relying on his memory. He, however, failed to report this special circumstance when submitting the original bill thus drawing some suspicion on his own conduct. The difference in the amount of the two bills being small (viz Rs 2-4-0), and the work done by the Sub Surgeon during the past six years having been satisfactory, Govt consider it sufficient to administer a severe reprimand to him; and write a view to mark the displeasure of Govt, to further mulct him of 2.5 per cent of his T.A. bill for May 1912 as recommended by the Senior Surgeon and to transfer him at the earliest opportunity to another place.

2. The enquiry further shows that the existence of misunderstanding between the Assistant Surgeon and Mr T. Venkataramaiya,

GPB—10,000—22-2-11

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

made by them before the Sub Dee officer Mr Dayalu Chetty on the 13th and 16th February 1913 and to finally determines the extent of Mr T. Venkataramayya's responsibility in the matter. The Deputy Comr of the Chitaldrug Dt is requested to obtain the explanation of the Amildar concerned to complete the investigation and to submit his report through the Revenue Comr by the end of July 1913, together with the records of the enquiry.

Copy D.B.R. / pro reminder
O.R. C.L. D.C.
Am
1/8.

Draft approved
9/5/13

Fair draft
W. Hoffg. Secretary to Govt.
9/5/13

13-5-13
RC

To. The Senior Surgeon with the Govt Ophy Asst
with two files of records.
" Deputy Comr, Chitaldrug Dt
" Revenue Comr in Mysore
" Comptroller, Mysore Govt

Copd
KB
15/5

GPB—10,000—3-12-11